

Tormod Torvanger

Access to the
Norwegian tonnage
tax system

ACCESS TO THE NORWEGIAN TONNAGE TAX SYSTEM

TORMOD TORVANGER

ACCESS TO THE NORWEGIAN TONNAGE TAX SYSTEM

THESIS FOR THE DEGREE PHILOSOPHIAE DOCTOR (PHD)
AT THE UNIVERSITY OF BERGEN

© Gyldendal Norsk Forlag AS 2020

ISBN 978-82-05-54211-2

Cover: Gyldendal Akademisk

Layout: Bøk Oslo AS

Typesetting: HAVE A BOOK

Font: Minion 10/14,5 pkt

Paper: Amber graphic 90 g

Printed by: TOTEM.COM.PL

Inquiries regarding this book may be directed to

Gyldendal Akademisk

Postboks 6730 St. Olavs plass

0130 Oslo

Norway

www.gyldendal.no/akademisk

akademisk@gyldendal.no

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reprinted or reproduced or utilized in any form or by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from publishers.

All books published by Gyldendal are printed in environmentally certified printing houses.

www.gyldendal.no/miljo

Abstract

This thesis mainly concerns the issue of what types of vessels and vessel activities that may benefit under the Norwegian special tax framework for the shipping industry which is commonly known as the tonnage tax system. As the framework implies a tax relief in favor of a particular industry, this issue does not only concern Norwegian law in a narrow sense, but also how the prohibition against state aid of the EEA Agreement, to which Norway is a party, is to be understood.

Part 1 of the thesis explains the concept of tonnage tax, presents the research questions, accounts for the purpose and relevance of the study and provides an overview of the Norwegian framework. Moreover, this part of the thesis contains a brief overview of the Norwegian wage scheme, as the content of this framework is relevant for the research questions in certain regards. Part 1 also accounts for the events leading up the adoption of the original Norwegian tonnage tax scheme, hereunder for the circumstances that led this concept of taxation to expand rapidly within the EU/EEA-area from the mid-nineties. Finally, an account for the methodology of the study and for the relevant legal sources is contained in this part of the dissertation.

Part 2 concerns the legal implications that the general prohibition against state aid applicable under EU/EEA law have for the European tonnage tax frameworks. Emphasis in this respect is placed on the issue of what types of vessels and vessel activities the Commission/the EFTA Surveillance Authority have accepted such schemes to favor. Furthermore, emphasis has been placed on the extent to which it has been accepted that entities under such schemes may charter in vessels on time/charter party terms and/or charter out vessels on bareboat terms.

In this context, it is accounted for the considerations that the acceptance of tonnage tax schemes within the EU/EEA area have been based on. Common objections against aid in this form to the shipping industry are also presented in this part of the thesis.

Part 3, which must be considered as the main part of the thesis, analyses the vessel related conditions to benefit under the Norwegian tonnage tax system.

This part discusses what types of maritime structures that can be considered as “ships” for tonnage tax purposes. It is also discussed how an entity has to be connected with a ship of a qualifying/permisible nature in order to qualify for the scheme with it.

Moreover, part 3 elucidates the conditions contained in the general vessel category of “ships in service” of paragraph a of NTA section 8-11 (1), i.e. what types of vessels that may be qualifying/permisible for the scheme pursuant to this category. Thereafter, the conditions to be qualifying/permisible pursuant to the altogether four petroleum-related vessel categories of paragraph b of NTA section 8-11 (1) is subject to an analysis. Subsequently, the newly adopted category of windmill farm vessel contained in paragraph i of NTA section 8-11 (1) is analyzed.

This part of the dissertation does also comprise two issues that goes beyond the question of what types of vessels and vessel activities that may benefit under the Norwegian scheme. Firstly, it is discussed whether and to what extent an entity under the scheme may conduct data processing onboard seismic vessels. Secondly, the eligibility of different types of petroleum-related vessels under the Norwegian scheme is compared with the extent to which the types of vessels in question may benefit under the tonnage tax schemes of the UK, the Netherlands and Denmark respectively.

Part 4, which is the last part of the thesis, sums up the most notable features of the Norwegian tonnage tax system. In this respect, the wide extent to which the framework facilitates for specialized vessels to benefit under the scheme is emphasized and it is accounted for positive and negative aspects of the rather open-ended way in which the framework identifies qualifying/permisible vessels. Moreover, it is commented on positive and negative aspects of the fact that the Norwegian framework, unlike what is common within the EU/EEA area, is not built on a principle of split accounts and on the framework’s relatively liberal requirements to management from within the EU/EEA area. Finally, this part of the dissertation questions the necessity of the positive requirements to sailing distances that apply to vessels unrelated to the petroleum industry in contexts where such vessels operate domestically.

Preface

I became familiar with the main issue of this thesis when I started working as a legal advisor at the Central Tax Office for Large Enterprises in 1997, practically at the same time as the original Norwegian tonnage tax scheme was introduced. Already at this stage, it struck me as remarkable how open the question of what types of vessels and vessel activities that could be accepted to benefit under the special tax system was in many regards - and how challenging it appeared to be to regulate this issue in a practicable manner.

Subsequently, when working as a tax lawyer from 2000 to 2011, I experienced the challenges that this issue gave rise to from a shipping point of view. Although some guidance could be found in interpretive statements and published tax administrative practice, I experienced this question as a recurring, and in many regards uncertain, issue also during this time period.

Eventually, I got the possibility to study this issue from a theoretical point of view. In August 2011, I entered the PhD program at the University in Bergen and commenced with the project which resulted in this dissertation. The project was financed through a research scholar position at the Norwegian School of Economics (NHH), where I also have been lecturing in tax law up to present.

Although the legal staff at NHH is relatively small, I have indeed had a very interesting and inspiring time at this institution. I would like to express my gratitude to my colleagues at the Department of Accounting, Auditing and Law at NHH (IRRR) for the way they have been facilitating for, and supporting, my studies. Special thanks go to the head of IRRR; associate professor Finn Kinserdal, the deputy head of IRRR Professor Katarina Kaarbøe, the head of administration Maren Dale-Raknes and associate professor/lawyer Oddleif Torvik.

I also thank the Faculty of Law at the University of Bergen, and in particular Professor Karl Harald Søvig and Professor Bjarte Askeland (the latter is now in a position as an appeal court judge) whom I had most to do with under the inspiring scientific training

program which is part of the faculty's PhD program. Moreover, a special thanks goes to Professor Halvard Haukeland Fredriksen whom I several times have turned to for support with issues concerning EU/EEA law.

Furthermore, I owe my gratitude to Professor/ tax advisor Ton Stevens in the Netherlands for guidance and help with issues relating to the Dutch tonnage tax system. In this respect, I also express my thanks to Robert Bogard with the Dutch tax authorities (now retired). Heartfelt thanks also go to Victor Baker with the British tax authorities (HMRC) and to lawyer (PhD) Ann Rask Vang in Denmark for assistance in relation to the British and Danish tonnage tax schemes respectively.

My thanks also go to senior advisor Kjetil-Andre Olsen with the Norwegian tax authorities, whom I have had fruitful discussions with concerning different aspects of the issues of the thesis.

Moreover, I thank Julie Wille for proofreading the manuscript and stud. jur. Even Torvanger for updating the notes and the reference list prior to this edition of the dissertation.

I also owe thanks to my partners at the law firm Rasmussen & Broch DA for accepting that I have had flexible and unconventional ties to the firm for the last four years. It is hard to see how this project could have been finalized without their support.

Furthermore, I am deeply grateful for the way in which my supervisor, Professor Frederik Zimmer at the University of Oslo, has contributed to the thesis. Professor Zimmer's extraordinary insight in practically all aspects of Norwegian tax law is well known and it has indeed been a privilege to work with him. I very much appreciate the friendly, thorough and patient way in which he has guided me through this study.

I am also thankful to the scientific committee, consisting of Professor Rune Sæbø (the University of Bergen), Professor Ton Stevens (Tilburg University) and Professor Isabelle Richelle (the University of Liege), for their thorough and generous assessment of the dissertation.

Finally, I would like to thank my dear children Even, Mari and Kristin for their patience during this project and to apologize for spending too much time at the office. I dedicate this work to you.

The dissertation is up to date per 30 June 2020.

Bergen, August 2020

Tormod Torvanger

Table of contents

PART 1 INTRODUCTION	23
CHAPTER 1 A NORWEGIAN TAX FRAMEWORK FOR SHIPPING COMPANIES IN PARTICULAR	24
1.1 The concept of tonnage tax	24
1.2 The fundamental structure of the Norwegian tonnage tax system	25
1.3 The relationship between the principles on which the Norwegian tonnage tax scheme are built and the principle of neutrality	26
1.4 The current position of the Norwegian tonnage tax system	28
1.5 The challenge of delimiting the tonnage tax scheme's tax exemption	28
CHAPTER 2 THE PURPOSE AND RELEVANCE OF THIS STUDY	30
2.1 The purpose of this study	30
2.2 The relevance of this study	31
CHAPTER 3 THE FUNDAMENTAL STRUCTURE OF THIS STUDY	35
CHAPTER 4 THE CIRCUMSTANCES LEADING UP TO ADOPTION OF TAXATION OF SHIPPING COMPANIES BASED ON THE CONCEPT OF TONNAGE TAX WITHIN THE EU/EEA AREA AND ELSEWHERE	37
4.1 The phenomenon of out-flagging	37
4.2 The adoption of international ships registers in high-cost jurisdictions	38
4.3 Continued out-flagging and relocation of vessel ownership	39
4.4 What has been perceived as problematic effects of out-flagging and relocation of shipping activities from an EU/EEA perspective?	41
4.5 CFC legislation as a countermeasure against business relocation	43
4.6 Adoption of European tonnage tax schemes and other types of tax incentive schemes as countermeasures against out-flagging and relocation for tax purposes	46
4.7 The main models for tonnage taxation	47

CHAPTER 5 EU/EEA LAW ASPECTS OF HAVING NATIONAL TAX INCENTIVE SCHEMES FOR THE SHIPPING INDUSTRY	51
5.1 Issues arising from the fact that the concept of tonnage tax implies state aid in favor of the shipping industry	51
5.2 The Maritime Guidelines	52
5.3 The procedural framework according to which the compatibility of a tonnage tax scheme is to be assessed	54
5.4 The interaction between legislation at a national level and authority exercised at an EU/EEA level	58
5.5 The principle of non-discrimination	59
CHAPTER 6 THE INTRODUCTION OF A NORWEGIAN TONNAGE TAX SYSTEM AND THE ADOPTION OF CREW RELIEF SCHEMES FOR THE NORWEGIAN SHIPPING INDUSTRY	60
6.1 The policy considerations preceding the adoption of the Norwegian tonnage tax system	60
6.2 The events leading up to the adoption of a Norwegian tonnage tax system	61
6.3 The adoption of Norwegian crew relief schemes	63
CHAPTER 7 THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE TONNAGE TAX SCHEME AND THE CREW RELIEF SCHEME	66
7.1 The character of the respective frameworks	66
7.2 The level of aid contained in the respective frameworks	67
7.3 The issue of what types of vessels and vessel activities can be accepted to benefit under the respective schemes	68
CHAPTER 8 AN OVERVIEW OF THE NORWEGIAN TONNAGE TAX SYSTEM	69
8.1 Introduction	69
8.2 The aspects of a shipping business that are decisive for its possibility to access the tonnage tax system	69
8.3 Terminological issues	70
8.3.1 Legal entities that may be comprised by the scheme	70
8.3.2 Vessels that may be comprised by the tonnage tax system	71
8.3.3 Other types of assets that an entity within the scheme may own and/or use	71
8.3.4 Activities that may be conducted within the scheme	71
8.4 The conditions to be comprised by the Norwegian tonnage tax system	72
8.4.1 Conditions concerning an entity's legal form	72
8.4.2 The requirement relating to limited tax liability	73
8.4.3 The vessel-specific requirements of the scheme	74
8.4.4 The requirement to vessel ownership	75
8.4.5 Types of entities that may be comprised by the tonnage tax scheme as dependent underlying entities	77

8.4.6	Permitted assets	78
8.4.7	The particular position of shipping pools under the scheme	79
8.4.8	Permitted activities	81
8.4.9	Additional requirements	82
8.5	Consequences of non-compliance with the requirements of the scheme	83
8.6	Taxation under the tonnage tax scheme	84
8.6.1	The tonnage tax obligation	84
8.6.2	Taxation upon entry	85
8.6.3	Financial earnings	87
CHAPTER 9 AN OVERVIEW OF THE NORWEGIAN NET WAGE SCHEME		93
9.1	General conditions to benefit under the net wage scheme	93
9.2	NOR-registered vessels	95
9.2.1	The respective categories	95
9.2.2	The general category	95
9.2.3	Petroleum vessels	95
9.2.4	Passenger vessels in foreign trade	96
9.2.5	Ferries operating the coastal route Bergen-Kirkenes	96
9.3	NIS-registered vessels	97
9.3.1	The respective categories	97
9.3.2	The general category	97
9.3.3	Cargo vessels in foreign trade	98
9.3.4	Passenger vessels in foreign trade	98
9.3.5	Construction vessels	99
CHAPTER 10 METHODOLOGY AND LEGAL SOURCES		101
10.1	A legal analysis	101
10.2	The relevant methodology and terminological issues	101
10.3	Methodical issues related to the existence of an international framework ..	102
10.3.1	Introduction	102
10.3.2	The principle of homogeneity	103
10.3.3	The relationship between EU/EEA law on one side and Norwegian law on the other side	105
10.3.3.1	The obligation of Norway to implement EU/EEA law	105
10.3.3.2	How are potential conflicts between domestic rules and implemented EEA rules resolved?	106
10.3.3.3	The requirement(s) to a treaty-consistent interpretation of domestic law	108
10.4	Relevant sources and methodology of Norwegian law	111
10.4.1	The relevant provision's wording	111
10.4.2	Preparatory works	113
10.4.3	Legislative purpose	114
10.4.4	Case law	116
10.4.5	Tax administrative statements	116
10.4.6	Tax administrative practice	119
10.4.7	Consideration of coherence and harmony	120

10.4.8	Legal theory	121
10.4.9	Fairness considerations and similar	121
10.4.10	Foreign law	122
10.4.11	International sources of law	124
10.5	Other sources that may be relevant in respect of the issues in question	125
10.6	Summary	125

PART 2 REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO TONNAGE TAX FRAMEWORKS WITHIN THE EU/EEA AREA 127

CHAPTER 11 THE CONSIDERATIONS ON WHICH THE ACCEPTANCE OF TONNAGE TAX SCHEMES WITHIN THE EU/EEA AREA ARE BUILT 128

11.1	Introduction	128
11.2	Considerations highlighted at the time when the concept of tonnage tax started to expand	129
11.3	The objectives of the 1997 Maritime Guidelines and the extent to which they have been considered realized	132
11.4	Considerations referred to in the currently applicable Maritime Guidelines	133
11.5	Issues addressed under the 2012-13 review of the Maritime Guidelines	136
11.5.1	Introduction	136
11.5.2	Supposed effects of the tonnage tax framework(s) for the shipping industry	137
11.5.3	Supposed effects of the tonnage tax framework(s) on industries related to the shipping industry and on the EU/EEA economy in general	138
11.5.4	What is the Commission's view on the economic effects of the European tonnage tax schemes?	141
11.6	Considerations that have been regarded relevant under decision-making practice	142
11.7	Considerations focused on from the side of Norway in 2017	143

CHAPTER 12 TYPES OF MARITIME ACTIVITIES THAT MAY BENEFIT UNDER A EUROPEAN TONNAGE TAX SCHEME 144

12.1	Maritime transport	144
12.2	How is the compatibility criterion "maritime transport" reflected in the tonnage tax frameworks of Norway, the Netherlands, the UK and Denmark?	146
12.3	"Towage" and "dredging"	148
12.4	Towage and dredging under the tonnage tax schemes of Norway, the UK, the Netherlands and Denmark	149
12.5	Types of vessels and vessel activities that have been considered to challenge the criterion of "maritime transport" under decision-making practice	150
12.5.1	Introduction	150
12.5.2	Research vessels, cable laying vessels and pipe laying vessels	150

12.5.3	Vessels conducting other types of offshore installation and/or construction work	152
12.5.4	Other types of vessels and vessel operations	155
12.6	Ancillary activities	157

CHAPTER 13 REQUIREMENTS RELATING TO HOW A LEGAL ENTITY HAS TO BE CONNECTED WITH ELIGIBLE TYPES OF VESSELS AND VESSEL ACTIVITIES

	160	
13.1	Introduction	160
13.2	Whether and to what extent may a tonnage tax scheme allow for inbound voyage and/or time chartering?	161
13.3	Whether and to what extent may a tonnage tax framework allow for entities comprised by it to hire out vessels on bareboat terms?	163
13.4	The basic features of the British, the Dutch and the Danish schemes concerning in-chartering and out-chartering of vessels	165
13.5	The particular position of the Norwegian scheme concerning in-chartering of vessels on time or voyage charter terms and out-chartering of vessels on bareboat terms	166
13.5.1	The situation under the Norwegian tonnage tax system up to and including 2017	166
13.5.2	The events that have led up to adoption of chartering restrictions under the Norwegian tonnage tax framework	167
13.5.3	Restrictions concerning inbound voyage and/or time chartering	168
13.5.4	Restrictions concerning outbound bareboat chartering	168
13.5.5	Transitional rules	171
13.5.6	Aspects of allowing out-chartering on bareboat terms that are favorable from an industry point of view	171
13.6	The crucial differences between decision-making practice of the EFTA Surveillance Authority and the Commission in respect of the bareboat issue	173
13.7	The extent to which earnings arising from purchase and sale of, inter alia, eligible types of maritime vessels may benefit under a European tonnage tax framework	175
13.8	Ship management activities	176

CHAPTER 14 HOW IS A TONNAGE TAX FRAMEWORK REQUIRED TO BE DESIGNED IN ORDER TO BE LIKELY TO ENHANCE THE CONSIDERATIONS THAT THESE TYPES OF FRAMEWORKS ARE BUILT ON?

	179	
14.1	Introduction	179
14.2	Requirements related to flagging within the EU/EEA area	179
14.3	Requirements concerning presence and land-based activities within the EU/EEA area	180
14.4	National reflections of the Maritime Guidelines' requirement to an economic link with the EU/EEA area	181

CHAPTER 15 REQUIREMENTS TO RING-FENCING MEASURES	184
CHAPTER 16 THE EXTENT TO WHICH A TONNAGE TAX FRAMEWORK MAY CONTAIN STATE AID – THE “AID CEILING”	186
CHAPTER 17 OBJECTIONS AND RESERVATIONS TO THE VIEW THAT THE TAXATION BASED ON THE CONCEPT OF TONNAGE TAX IS NECESSARY FOR ECONOMIC REASONS	188
17.1 Introduction	188
17.2 Criticism set forth in Norway against granting tax reliefs for the shipping industry	189
17.3 More recent views on the need for tax incentive schemes in favor of the shipping industry	193
17.4 The development of the European-based shipping industry before and after the tax incentive schemes started to expand	196
17.5 Comments to the diverging views concerning the effects of tax incentive schemes for the shipping industry, for connected industries and for the economy in general	197
17.6 The development in European countries without tax incentive schemes for the shipping industry	204
17.7 Concluding remarks	206
PART 3 THE VESSEL-RELATED REQUIREMENTS OF THE NORWEGIAN TONNAGE TAX FRAMEWORK	209
CHAPTER 18 OVERVIEW	210
CHAPTER 19 WHAT CONSTITUTES A SHIP FOR TONNAGE TAX PURPOSES?	212
19.1 Introduction	212
19.2 According to what criteria are the content of the term “ships” determined under the tonnage tax rule set?	214
19.2.1 The extent to which the content of the term “ships” is specified in the tonnage tax framework	214
19.2.2 The link to the depreciation rule set	214
19.2.3 The understanding of the concept of “ships” under Norwegian maritime law	215
19.2.4 The relationship between the concept of ships under maritime law the term “ships” of the tonnage tax rule set	216
19.2.5 The link to the framework concerning the seafarers’ earning deduction	216
19.2.6 Summary	218
19.3 Ships under construction	219
19.4 Ships under reconstruction	221

CHAPTER 20 THE REQUIREMENT TO VESSEL OWNERSHIP	225
20.1 Introduction	225
20.2 The extent to which leased vessels may qualify an entity for the tonnage tax scheme	225
20.3 Ownership through trust arrangement	227
20.4 Contractual rights to acquire second hand vessels	227
CHAPTER 21 EXCEPTIONS TO THE GENERAL RULE THAT “SHIPS” MAY QUALIFY AN ENTITY FOR THE TONNAGE TAX SCHEME CONTAINED IN FSFIN SECTION 8-11-1	230
21.1 Introduction	230
21.2 Exceptions relating to size	230
21.3 The exception relating to propulsion machinery	231
21.3.1 The starting point under the scheme as far as vessels of this nature are concerned	231
21.3.2 The conditions according to which vessels without propulsion machinery may be qualifying under the scheme	231
21.3.3 What types of ships without propulsion machinery may be qualifying under the scheme?	232
21.3.4 The requirement that vessels without propulsion machinery is operated in connection with “ships in service” with propulsion machinery	234
21.3.5 Issues arising in contexts where non-motorized and motorized vessels are owned by different legal entities	235
21.4 Exceptions made for particular types of vessels	236
21.5 Types of vessels that are qualifying under certain conditions	237
CHAPTER 22 WHAT TYPES OF VESSEL ACTIVITIES MAY BE COMPRISED BY THE CATEGORY OF SHIPS “IN SERVICE”?	238
22.1 Introduction	238
22.2 The considerations on which the use-related requirements of paragraph a of the tax law provision are built	239
22.3 The relative nature of the requirement to mobility	240
22.4 Do the mobility requirements serve other functions than ensuring that only shipping activities subject to international competition may benefit under the tonnage tax scheme?	241
22.5 The fundamental issues relating to mobility	243
22.6 The order in which the use-related issues arising under paragraph a of NTA section 8-11 (1) will be addressed	243
22.7 What constitutes relevant mobility under paragraph a of NTA section 8-11 (1)?	244
22.7.1 The legal starting point	244
22.7.2 The contexts in which the issue arises	244
22.7.3 Issues connected with investigations of the seabed as such	244
22.7.4 Issues arising in relation to investigations of objects on the seabed	245

22.7.5	Cable laying operations and trenching related thereto	247
22.7.6	Repair and maintenance of subsea cables	248
22.7.7	Rock placement on the seabed	249
22.7.8	Comments to tax administrative practice concerning the issue of velocity	250
22.7.9	Operations conducted by other types of vessels	252
22.7.10	What is current law in respect of the velocity issue?	253
22.8	The extent to which a vessel "in service" may be involved in maritime operations of a stationary character	254
22.8.1	The contexts in which the issue arises	254
22.8.2	The legal approach	255
22.8.3	Standstill periods related to installation activities offshore	256
22.8.4	Seabed-related operations with stationary elements	259
22.8.5	Long-lasting loading and unloading processes	261
22.8.6	A summary of the criteria according to which operations with stationary elements have been assessed under tax administrative practice	262
22.8.7	Operations with stationary elements conducted with other types of vessels	264
22.8.8	Do the criteria established under tax administrative practice represent an adequate way of differentiating between acceptable and unacceptable stationary elements?	264
22.8.9	Standstill periods caused by other circumstances	266
22.9	The requirements to sailing distances	267
22.9.1	Introduction	267
22.9.2	What does it mean to be "in foreign trade" in the meaning of the first sentence of FSFIN section 8-11-1 (2)?	268
22.9.2.1	Trade implying that territorial borders are crossed	268
22.9.2.2	Trade within the waters of a foreign country	268
22.9.2.3	Is it sufficient for a vessel to be "in foreign trade" that it leaves Norwegian waters, i.e. without entering the waters of a foreign country?	270
22.9.2.4	Can ferries and passenger vessels with one or more foreign ports of call in addition to several Norwegian ports of call be said to be "in foreign trade"?	273
22.9.3	Is the issue of what constitutes relevant motion the same for vessels in domestic trade as it is in general?	274
22.9.4	Is the extent to which a vessel may conduct stationary activities within the scheme the same for vessels in domestic trade as it is in general?	275
22.9.5	Issues arising from the length requirements of the tonnage tax scheme	276
22.9.5.1	Introduction	276
22.9.5.2	What is the relevant time period?	277
22.9.5.3	Where does a voyage start and end in relation to the general length requirement?	278

22.9.5.4	Do all of a vessel's sailing distances have to meet the 30-miles requirement?	280
22.9.5.5	According to what principle is the acceptable degree of insufficient sailing distances to be determined?	282
22.9.5.6	Is the 30-miles requirement necessary?	286
22.9.5.7	The particular requirement to sailing distance applicable to ferries and passenger ships	287
22.9.6	Mobility requirements applicable to tug vessels pursuant to paragraph a of NTA section 8-11 (1)	288
22.9.6.1	Introduction	288
22.9.6.2	The context in which the mobility requirement for tug vessels was adopted	289
22.9.6.3	The extent to which tug vessels may conduct operations that do not meet the 30-miles requirement	290
22.10	Use of vessels on Norwegian lakes and rivers	292
22.11	Inactive vessels	292

CHAPTER 23 WHAT TYPES OF PETROLEUM-RELATED VESSELS AND VESSEL ACTIVITIES MAY BE COMPRISED BY THE TONNAGE TAX SCHEME? 294

23.1	The criteria for petroleum-related vessels to be qualifying/permissible under the scheme	294
23.1.1	Overview of the fundamental conditions for petroleum-related vessels to benefit under the tonnage tax scheme	294
23.1.2	The extent to which it is necessary to distinguish between the respective vessel categories of paragraph b of NTA section 8-11 (1)	296
23.1.3	Requirements to vessel properties	296
23.1.4	Use-related requirements implied in the respective vessel categories and in the expression "in petroleum activities"	297
23.1.5	Terminology	299
23.1.6	Structure	300
23.2	The considerations that have been found to justify petroleum-related vessels within the tonnage tax scheme from the time of its adoption and up to the present	300
23.2.1	Introduction	300
23.2.2	The events leading up to the position of petroleum-related offshore service vessels under today's scheme	301
23.2.2.1	The circumstances under which the original tonnage tax rule set were adopted	301
23.2.2.2	Ambiguities concerning the extent to which offshore service vessels could benefit under the original tonnage tax framework	301
23.2.2.3	The alterations undertaken in 1997	304
23.2.2.4	The events leading up to the introduction of entrepreneur vessels as a separate vessel category under the tonnage tax framework	305

23.2.3	Considerations set forth under the legislative process with regard to the extent to which offshore service vessels should be accepted to benefit under the scheme	307
23.2.3.1	The question of whether offshore service vessels within the petroleum industry at all should be accepted to benefit in full under the tonnage tax scheme	307
23.2.3.2	The establishment of criteria for full admissibility	311
23.2.3.3	The issue of whether seismic vessels should be accepted to benefit in full under the scheme	314
23.2.3.4	Issues related to shuttle tankers	316
23.2.3.5	The considerations on which the adoption of the category of entrepreneur vessels were built	318
23.2.3.6	Concluding remarks concerning the considerations on which the acceptance of petroleum-related vessels within the tonnage tax scheme are built	319
23.3	What types of vessels and vessel activities in petroleum activities may benefit in full under the scheme?	320
23.3.1	Introduction	320
23.3.2	The principle(s) according to which vessels with supportive functions are to be distinguished from entrepreneur vessels and other vessels with independent functions	321
23.3.3	Types of vessels that were positively identified as “other support vessels” at the time when offshore service vessels were accepted to benefit in full under the scheme	324
23.3.3.1	Introduction	324
23.3.3.2	Types of vessels that have been positively acknowledged as “other support vessels” in relation to the tax treaty provision	324
23.3.3.3	Types of vessels that were positively acknowledged as “other support vessels” in the preparatory works to the 1997 amendments of the tax law provision	325
23.3.4	Types of vessels activities that have been positively acknowledged as supportive in the preparatory works related to the 2005 amendments	326
23.3.5	The extent to which other types of petroleum-related vessels than those referred to in the preparatory works may benefit in full under the tonnage tax scheme	327
23.3.5.1	Introduction	327
23.3.5.2	Cable laying vessels	328
23.3.5.3	Rock dumping vessels	329
23.3.5.4	Vessels conducting types of assignments under which ROV operations play a crucial role	330
23.3.5.5	Vessels with accommodation functions	331
23.3.5.6	Vessels conducting drilling activities	334
23.3.5.7	IMR and intervention vessels	335
23.3.6	Comments to principles according to which the delimitation issues have been determined under tax administrative practice	338

23.3.7	How are the delimitation criteria to be understood under current law?	341
23.4	What requirements do petroleum-related vessels with independent functions have to meet to in order to be acknowledged as “entrepreneur vessels”?	343
23.4.1	Introduction	343
23.4.2	What use-related requirements are contained in the vessel category of “entrepreneur vessels”?	344
23.4.2.1	The starting point	344
23.4.2.2	What requirements to mobility are contained in the category of “entrepreneur vessels”?	345
23.4.3	Vessels with mixed functions	347
23.4.4	Concluding remarks	349
23.5	Delimitation of qualifying/permissible vessel activities against core petroleum activities	350
23.5.1	Introduction	350
23.5.2	May vessels with properties suitable for core petroleum activities benefit under the tonnage tax scheme?	351
23.5.3	Is any involvement in core petroleum activities necessarily incompatible the requirements contained in the term “support”?	352
23.5.4	Is the pardon clause of NTA section 8-17 (3) applicable in respect of operations implying direct involvement in core petroleum activities?	353
23.5.5	The criteria according to which qualifying/permissible vessel activities are to be delimited against core petroleum activities	355
23.5.6	Types of vessel operations that may give rise to uncertainties in respect of the delimitation issue	357
23.5.6.1	Offshore loading and storage functions related to oil production	357
23.5.6.2	Offshore accommodation services	359
23.5.6.3	Offshore drilling activities	363
23.5.6.4	IMR and intervention vessels	365
23.6	Summary of types of vessels and vessel activities that may be qualifying/permissible under the tonnage tax scheme pursuant to paragraph b of NTA section 8-11 (1)	367
23.7	May a vessel with both supportive and independent functions during an income period be qualifying/permissible under the scheme?	369
23.8	How are newbuilding contracts to be assessed in relation to the vessel-related requirements of paragraph b of NTA section 8-11 (1)?	370
23.9	Are operations conducted on the continental shelves of foreign states and/or in foreign waters governed by paragraph b or paragraph a of NTA section 8-11 (1)?	372
CHAPTER 24 PARTICULAR ISSUES RELATED TO SEISMIC VESSELS		375
24.1	What types of vessel-related seismic activities may be conducted within the scheme?	375
24.2	The main elements of a marine seismic operation	376

24.3	Sources relevant for the issue of what types of onboard seismic activities that may be conducted within the scheme	377
24.4	Issues related to data processing that have arisen under tax administrative practice	379
24.5	Comments to the view on which tax administrative practice concerning onboard data processing is based	382

CHAPTER 25	WHAT TYPES OF VESSEL ACTIVITIES MAY BENEFIT UNDER THE SCHEME PURSUANT TO THE CATEGORY OF WINDMILL FARM VESSELS?	384
-------------------	--	------------

CHAPTER 26	THE EXTENT TO WHICH PETROLEUM-RELATED VESSELS MAY BENEFIT UNDER THE NORWEGIAN TONNAGE TAX SCHEME IN A COMPARATIVE PERSPECTIVE	387
26.1	Introduction	387
26.2	The British tonnage tax system	388
26.2.1	The starting point under the UK regime	388
26.2.2	Types of petroleum-related vessels that may benefit under the UK regime	389
26.2.3	Differences and similarities between the British and the Norwegian tonnage tax regimes	390
26.3	The Dutch tonnage tax system	391
26.3.1	The starting point under the Dutch regime	391
26.3.2	Types of petroleum-related vessels that may benefit under the Dutch regime	392
26.3.3	Differences and similarities between the Dutch and the Norwegian tonnage tax regimes	394
26.4	The Danish tonnage tax system	395
26.4.1	The starting point under the Danish regime	395
26.4.2	The distinction between eligible and ineligible types of petroleum-related vessels and vessel activities under the Danish scheme up to recent	396
26.4.3	Recent amendments	397
26.4.4	Particular features of the Danish scheme	399
26.5	The relative position of the Norwegian scheme in terms of vessel eligibility - summary	401

PART 4	CONCLUDING REMARKS – THE MOST NOTABLE FEATURES OF THE NORWEGIAN TONNAGE TAX SYSTEM	403
---------------	---	------------

CHAPTER 27	THE SCHEME'S EXTENSIVE FOCUS ON SPECIALIZED VESSELS	404
-------------------	--	------------

CHAPTER 28 THE FRAMEWORK'S CRITERIA FOR VESSELS TO BE QUALIFYING/PERMISSIBLE	407
28.1 The character the qualification/permissibility criteria	407
28.2 Is it an advantage from an industry perspective that the Norwegian framework's criteria for vessels to be qualifying/permissible under the scheme are of a dynamic nature?	409
28.3 The mobility requirements of the tonnage tax framework	410
28.3.1 What were the reasons for establishing relaxed mobility requirements in respect of petroleum-related offshore vessels in domestic trade?	410
28.3.2 Is the existence of different mobility requirements for petroleum-related vessels and vessels unrelated to the petroleum industry justifiable?	411
28.3.3 Should the current mobility requirements of the scheme applicable to other types of vessels than petroleum-related offshore vessels be upheld?	412
28.4 Should windmill farm vessels with activities that may give rise to Norwegian limited tax liability outside the scheme be accepted to benefit under the scheme to the same extent as seismic vessels?	414
 CHAPTER 29 THE DEGREE TO WHICH QUALIFYING/PERMISSIBLE VESSELS MAY BENEFIT UNDER THE NORWEGIAN TONNAGE TAX FRAMEWORK ...	416
29.1 Introduction	416
29.2 The framework's chartering restrictions	416
29.3 The framework's modest requirements to management from within the EU/EEA area	417
29.4 The absence of a principle of split accounts	418
29.5 The tonnage tax obligations	420
29.6 Is the Norwegian tonnage tax framework particularly well-suited for offshore service vessels?	422
 APPENDIX	423
 CHAPTER 30 APPENDIX WITH REFERENCES	424
30.1 Bibliography	424
30.2 Case law and administrative decisions	427
30.2.1 EEA	427
30.2.2 EU	427
30.2.3 Norway	427
30.2.4 Denmark	428
30.2.5 The Netherlands	428
30.3 Administrative decisions - Norway	428
30.4 Conventions and treaties	429
30.4.1 Double taxation agreements	429
30.4.2 EEA	429

30.4.3	EU	429
30.4.4	Other	429
30.5	Domestic constitutional law, statutory law, secondary legislation, guidelines, parliamentary resolutions and administrative tax practice	430
30.5.1	Norway	430
30.5.2	UK	433
30.5.3	The Netherlands	435
30.5.4	Denmark	435
30.6	EU/EEA Regulations and Guidelines	435
30.6.1	EU	435
30.6.2	EEA	436
30.7	Official documents – Norway	436
30.7.1	Reports to the Parliament (white papers) (Meldinger til Stortinget [Meld. St.]	436
30.7.2	Reports from the Ministry of Trade, Industry and Fisheries	437
30.7.3	Expert reports	437
30.7.4	Public discussion papers (Høringer)	438
30.7.5	Legislative proposal documents	438
30.7.6	Other proposal documents	441
30.7.7	Interpellations	441
30.7.8	Interpretive statements	441
30.7.9	Advance rulings	442
30.7.10	Ministry of Finance - notifications to the EFTA Surveillance Authority	443
30.8	Official documents – international	444
30.8.1	European Union (EU)	444
30.8.2	European Economic Area (EEA)	446
30.8.3	Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD)	447
30.8.4	United Nations	447
30.8.5	UK	447
30.8.6	The Netherlands	448
30.8.7	Denmark	448
	INDEX	449